



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,194	09/08/2003	Hisayasu Nakao	1046.1299	8380
21171	7590	02/01/2011	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HAMZA, FARUK	
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				2442
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,194	NAKAO, HISAYASU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FARUK HAMZA	2442	

All Participants:

(1) FARUK HAMZA.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas McKiernan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2011

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Faruk Hamza/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2442

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed to incorporate claim limitation "registering the forward destination address corresponding to the receipt address when the confirmation proved successful; forwarding a received E-mail addressed to the receipt address to the forward destination address when the forward destination address corresponding to the receipt address is registered; registering a forward destination address unconditionally in response to said setting request for setting the forward destination address that is within a predetermined range, and registering a forward destination address with respect to which the confirmation proved successful in response to said setting request for setting the forward destination address that is beyond the predetermined range" into independent claims. The examiner also requested to cancel withdrawn claims.